Nonlinear dynamics in treatment wetlands: Identifying systematic drivers of volatile outlet concentrations



Miles Medina¹, R. Huffaker¹, J. Jawitz² & R. Muñoz-Carpena¹ ¹UF Agricultural & Biological Engineering Dept. ²UF Soil & Water Sciences Dept.

Treatment wetlands intercept nutrients in surface runoff to protect downstream ecosystems. Effective nutrient removal requires management of hydraulic loads and nutrient loads.^a



Faced with volatile treatment dynamics, managers lack a reliable means of distinguishing between systematic variation and random scatter in nutrient concentrations in outflows (CONCout). This question dictates whether and how managers intervene to improve treatment performance.

OBJECTIVE: Identify systematic drivers of **CONCout** to support management intervention decisions.



QUESTIONS

- 1. Are **CONCout** dynamics predominantly **random** (linear-stochastic) or **systematic** (nonlineardeterministic)?
- 2. If systematic, is **CONCout** driven by **FLOWin**, **FLOWout** or **CONCin**?

STUDY AREAS: Everglades Stormwater Treatment Areas 3/4 and 2. The STAs remove phosphorus from agricultural stormwater in south Florida, USA—an important part of the Everglades restoration effort.^b



RESULTS & CONCLUSIONS

STA-3/4: Phosphorus **CONCout** volatility is highly systematic and strongly driven by **FLOWin** and **FLOWout**, with evidence of management feedbacks. The wetland effectively removes the **CONCin** signal. **Conclusion:** Enhanced management of hydraulic loads (e.g. upstream storage) may improve treatment performance.

STA-2: Phosphorus **CONCout** volatility is predominantly stochastic, and the wetland effectively removes **FLOWin**, **FLOWout** and **CONCin** signals.

Conclusion: Neither hydraulic loads nor P loads systematically drive **CONCout** volatility.

- **Reconstruction of** a single time series.^e
- Correspondence implies causality.^d





